## STATE OF WASHINGTON BEFORE THE MARINE EMPLOYEES' COMMISSION

INLANDBOATMEN'S UNION	)	MEC Case No. 13-91
OF THE PACIFIC,	)	DECISION NO. 85 - MEC
Complainant,	)	
V.	)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
WASHINGTON STATE FERRIES,	)	
Respondent.	)	

Schwerin, Burns, Campbell and French, attorneys, by <u>John Burns</u>, appearing for and on behalf of the Inlandboatmen's Union of the Pacific.

Kenneth Eikenberry, Attorney General, by <u>Robert McIntosh</u>, Assistant Attorney General, for and on behalf of Washington State Ferries.

THIS MATTER came before the Marine Employees' Commission for consideration of the Inlandboatmen's Union of the Pacific's Motion to Dismiss MEC Case No. 13-91.

On November 25, 1991, the Inlandboatmen's Union of the Pacific (IBU) filed an unfair labor practice complaint charging the Washington State Ferries (WSF) with failure to dispatch employees in accordance with seniority as specified in the IBU/WSF Collective Bargaining Agreement.

After initial processing of IBU's complaint pursuant to WAC 316-45-110, the Marine Employees' Commission determined that the facts, if true and provable, may constitute an unfair labor practice. Chairman Dan E. Boyd was assigned as Hearing Examiner. A prehearing conference was held on January 29, 1992, and a hearing

Was scheduled on February 20, 1992. By letter dated January 31, 1992, John Burns requested a continuance to allow the parties time to attempt a reach a settlement. Hearing Examiner Boyd granted the continuance.

A hearing was held on May 26, 1992, at which time the parties reached agreement. The terms of the settlement are excerpted in the attached pages five through ten of the Court Reporter's transcript. As a result of that settlement, by letter date May 28, 1992, IBU withdrew the unfair labor practice complaint filed against WSF.

Pursuant to the withdrawal of the unfair labor practice complaint by the Inlandboatmen's Union of the Pacific (WAC 316-45-090), it is hereby ordered that MEC Case No. 13-91 is dismissed.

DONE this 1<sup>st</sup> day of July, 1992

MARINE EMPLOYEES' COMMISSION

/s/ DAN E. BOYD, Chairman

/s/ DONALD E. KOKJER, Commissioner

/s/ LOUIS O. STEWART, Commissioner

1	settlement efforts and now I'm informed
2	that they have reached settlement.
3	Would you tell me about what you've
4	got there and then what I can add to it.
5	MS. FRENCH: Yes.
6	I believe we have settled this matter
7	and the terms of our settlement are as
8	follows:
9	The first is that the collective
10	bargaining parties have worked out a new
11	bidding system for bidding permanent and
12	extended temporary jobs. They have agreed
13	that they will have that bidding system in
14	place by July $1^{\rm st}$ , 1992, and that the first
15	job openings affected by that will be
16	posted on July 9 <sup>th</sup> , 1992. They'll work
17	together to produce a letter of
18	understanding regarding that bidding system
19	and a letter notifying the affected
20	employees about the bidding system within
21	that period of time.
22	The second element of the settlement
23	is - concerns the affect of the current
24	bidding system on particular individuals.

The parties have agreed that they will

25

review the Econ-O-Grams of these
individuals and make determinations as to
whether those individuals are properly
placed currently or if not to make some
agreement as to where those individuals
should be placed. Those individuals are
Marsha Hagey, H-a-g-e-y, Barbara Bowen,
B-o-w-e-n, Keith Lippert, L-i-p-p-e-r-t,
Ron Berry, B-e-r-r-y, Omer, O-m-e-r
Porter, P-o-r-t-e-r, Dave Keith, Bob
Reynolds, Ken Porter.

Disputes regarding John Webb have already been resolved and the parties have determined that disputes regarding Jim Singleton, S-i-n-g-l-e-t-o-n, concerned a different issue and will not be resolved as a part of this proceeding.

The third element to the parties' agreement is that they will work together to identify permanent jobs which have been misassigned or have not been assigned or not filled and will attempt to put those jobs into the new bidding system and have them bid by July - ready to go by July 1st and bid on July 9th, 1992.

The fourth element of the settlement is that the parties will work to identify extended temporary jobs which have either been misassigned or not filled; and that a list of those extended temporary jobs will be agreed to by the parties by August 15 1992. And that those jobs will be posted under the new bidding system with a posting date of September 3<sup>rd</sup>, 1992 with an effort to be made to fill those jobs by the end of the summer schedule.

In exchange for these four elements of the settlement agreement the Inlandboatmen's Union of the Pacific has agreed to withdraw the unfair labor practice charge filed in this case with the understanding that any time limitation defenses or other procedural defenses that have not already been made in this filing will be waived if the IBU chooses to refile this unfair labor practice charge, if the settlement agreement is not followed as agreed to.

I believe that states all the elements of our agreement.

1		HEARII	NG OFFICE	ER BOYD:	Thank	you,
2	Ms.	French.				

Mr. McIntosh?

MR. McINTOSH: If I could just add a few things to make clear the one procedural defense that I can clearly remember as having been raised and which we do not intend to waive and that being the question of whether this matter is appropriately brought as an unfair labor practice, particularly but not exclusively, as it relates to the -- any individuals who may claim that they were misassigned to jobs and may or may not be entitled to back pay for such assignments.

The other point, Ms. French mentioned the parties' agreement to make an effort to implement the reassignment of any extended temporary jobs by the end of the summer schedule. It's my understanding that the jobs would be posted, any of those that necessarily need to be posted, by the 3rd of September, and that whether or not those jobs can be implemented by the end of the summer schedule will depend on the time

<b>_</b>	periods involved consistent with the
2	agreement of the parties that will be
3	written up. In other words, they'll comply
4	with the agreement for assignment of jobs.
5	If they can do it by the end of summer
6	schedule they will. If it can't be done it
7	will be a few days late. Was that
8	MS. FRENCH: I agree with both of
9	those corrections with the understanding
10	that those temporary - extended temporary
11	jobs will be posted on September $3^{\text{rd}}$ and
12	that's the firm date between the parties.
13	MR. McINTOSH: I agree and one
14	other point. It seems to me that the
15	parties are in agreement and this might as
16	well be in the record that they will notify
17	Inlandboatmens union members of the
18	implementation of the new system two weeks
19	before it clicks into effect. The target
20	date for that being the $15^{\text{th}}$ or the $16^{\text{th}}$ of
21	June, so the people who might or might not
22	be on vacation will have enough notice so
23	that they can click into the new system
24	effectively.

9

25

HEARING OFFICER BOYD: Is that

1	satisfactory then?
2	MS. FRENCH: Yes.
3	HEARING OFFICER BOYD: I
4	understand that you do - by this you're
5	not waiving the procedural point.
6	MR. McINTOSH: That's correct.
7	We are waiving the time limitations to the
8	extent that - and I can't recall whether
9	the Commission still takes the position
10	that it's necessary to wait 20 days before
11	setting the hearing. We would waive any
12	such requirement and would cooperate with
13	the IBU in an effort, subject to the
14	Commission availability, an effort to set a
15	hearing date within the 20 day period.
16	HEARING OFFICER BOYD: Well, with
17	that understanding then I accept the
18	agreement you've reached. I want to thank
19	both of you. I know that a lot of work has
20	gone into this and if there is nothing
21	further the hearing will be adjourned
22	pending your sending us a withdrawal.
23	MS. FRENCH: Okay.
24	HEARING OFFICER BOYD: Thank you
25	all very much.